JUSTIFICATION FOR THE USE OF A 10KM BUFFER AROUND T HE USK BAT SITES SAC.

Introduction

The Usk ValleTy o(L T*[(T)0.640e05(42(7(A))eo*)-7.22949-30.1655a)-(y)6555(V)1.57442(c.294974 arily for the sees horseshoe bat –an annex II species feature, qualifying featunesmely European Dry Heaths, Degraded al regenerat Balanket bogs, Calcareous rocky slopes with not open to theiqual d Tilio-Acerion forests of slopes,

lsk Bat Sites SApOIptions are known to use northern parts ne

Lesser Horseshoe Bat Ecology

During the summer, lesser horseshoe bats form **migter**olonies, generally in undisturbed areas of old rural buildings, and forage in mixed iduous woodland, woodland edges, scrub, hedgerows and treelines. Associated pasture and waterses can be an important source of the prey items, although foraging flights away from weld cover appear limited. Such mixed land-use, especially on south-facing slopes, favours degements and other insects on which the bats feed. In winter they depend on caves, abandon edsmaind other underground sites - including cellars and ice-houses of old manor houses - for forage during winter in mild conditions (above G). A series of other roost sites will also be used by a population, including some only for nightsting during foraging.

Current knowledge on population range is incompletlying on a limited number of radio tracking studies from summer sites and evidence out linkage from ringing studies. Studies have shown most foraging to be within 2 - 3 Kmhoef thaternity roost, but with areas at greater distances, typically 4-5 Km, being used. The dynamic concentration of suitable foraging habitat will affect foraging distances as does alweilability of suitable night roosts. Where habitats are fragmented, linear features such dgenews form important corridors between roosts and foraging areas.

- Avoid loss, damage and fragmentation (includingt that is is in grow in appropriate lighting) to foraging areas used by bats e.g. warod! scrub, hedgerows, pasture and tree lines along field boundaries as these areas stupped prey and provide 'perch' sites and travel routes for the bats.
- Where a plan or development proposal cannot avoiss lof foraging habitats consideration should be given to the enhancementetatined foraging habitat in the surrounding area. This should be based on an **stradet**ing of the distribution and ecological requirements of the species.
- Ensure best practice in drawing up mitigation plans/proposals affecting lesser horseshoe bats and compliance with relevant laginal

To comply with Regulations 61 and 102 of the Covvention of Habitats and Species Regulations 2010, the impact of a plan or project proposal willed to be considered on a case-by-case basis, using guidelines developed between the local phagnaiuthority (LPA) and CCW on the likely impacts of particular policiesT2170.g436(a)]TJ 249.383.74(r)2.80561bbonTd [(i)-2.1655-0.29554